

# MINIMUM CYBER SECURITY STANDARDS

2025



**Te Tira Tiaki**  
Government Communications  
Security Bureau

[www.ncsc.govt.nz](http://www.ncsc.govt.nz)

# Contents

## Ngā kaupapa

---

Introduction	3
The Standards	3
Capability Maturity Model	6
Risk Management	7
Security Awareness	11
Assets and their Importance	16
Secure Configuration of Software	21
Patching	25
Multi-factor Authentication	29
Detect Unusual Behaviour	33
Least Privilege	37
Data Recovery	41
Response Planning	45
Glossary of Acronyms	49
Glossary of Terms	50

# Introduction

## A more directive approach through standards and system insights

The introduction of the Standards allows us in our role of supporting the office of the Government Chief Information Officer to take a more directive stance and drive sector-wide uplift against foundational cyber security practices. This work contributes to our authoritative voice as the government system lead for Information Security for mandated agencies and responds to feedback asking for us provide clearer advice to support cyber security uplift.

We will continue to build greater visibility of the system through consolidating insights across the Protective Security Requirements (PSR), the Standards, the Vulnerability Insights Programme<sup>1</sup>, and the Cyber Security Framework<sup>2</sup>. We will use the insights gained from these initiatives, along with other data, to refine, update, and more effectively deploy our products and services for GCISO-mandated agencies.

## Minimum Cyber Security Standards and insights uplift

We have developed the Minimum Cyber Security Standards (the Standards) in line with the GCISO mandate. A key consideration when developing the Standards was ensuring alignment with the [PSR framework](#). The PSR framework provides the assurance mechanism for the NCSC to assess agency compliance with the Standards.

The Standards:

- a. establish clear expectations about the basics: the Standards map to both the Cyber Security Framework and the New Zealand Information Security Manual,
- b. help agencies to understand, benchmark and improve their practices: the Standards sit against a maturity model, and
- c. generate system insights through agency reporting. These insights will help build our dashboard of agency performance, which in turn will inform the development and renewal of products and services.

# The Standards

Organisations must actively identify, assess, and manage risks across the business as part of their day-to-day operations, including cyber security risks. The 10 Standards are designed to assist organisations in identifying, planning, and responding to security risks within their bespoke environments.

<sup>1</sup> [Vulnerability Insights Programme](#) - The Vulnerability Insights Programme (VIP) aims to proactively detect and notify customers about cyber security vulnerabilities that may affect their systems.

<sup>2</sup> [NCSC Cyber Security Framework](#) - This framework sets out how an organisation may think, talk about, and organise cyber security efforts. Its five functions represent the breadth of work needed to secure an organisation.

**The 10 Standards drafted as part of this release are listed in the table below:**

Risk Management	Security Awareness
Assets and their Importance	Secure Software or Configuration
Patching	Multi-factor Authentication
Detect Unusual Behaviour	Least Privilege
Data Recovery	Response Planning

## Scope

The Standards apply to all business-critical and externally facing systems, where applicable. For further clarification, the two areas in this context mean:

**Business-critical:** systems and applications that must function for an organisation to conduct normal business operations, which includes internal and external systems.

**Externally facing:** systems and applications that are outside of the authorisation boundary established by the organisation and falls under the business-critical definition or has connectivity to a business-critical system(s).

## How the Standards are structured

Each Standard has been designed to provide sufficient detail to enable agencies to implement them and further enhance the security maturity level for that Standard. Each Standard has been designed to help organisations understand the what, why, and how aspects, in relation to their purpose and implementation. The Standards have a maturity model built in, which will assist in standardising how cyber risks can be tracked and measured over time.

Each Standard is comprised of the following elements:

Section	Description/Explanation
<b>Standard Statement</b>	A summary statement that provides an overview of the Standard.
<b>Maturity Level</b>	<p>Criteria within a maturity model to provide clarity, including the expected minimum implementation level.</p> <p>The requirements are intended to meet and comply with each respective level of maturity. The levels provide a pathway that can be used by agencies to assess themselves against, with a view to improving maturity over time. Each successive maturity level builds on the requirement from the preceding level.</p>

Section	Description/Explanation
<b>Focus Area</b>	The areas the Standard is applicable to. Provided as a guide and not an exhaustive list, and each agency is best placed to identify areas of relevance.
<b>Intent of the Standard</b>	What the Standard is trying to achieve, including the security risks it is addressing.
<b>Suggested Actions</b>	Suggested actions that could be taken to achieve the Standard, aligned to the Measurable Outcomes section.
<b>Key Dependencies</b>	To implement the Standard, there are likely to be requisite measures or technologies in place. A number of dependencies apply to multiple Standards. In general, these dependencies are less technology-specific and relate to business processes.
<b>Measurable Outcomes</b>	To establish whether the Standard is being implemented, the Measurable Outcomes are one tool an organisation may wish (or already have in place) to measure to help make this determination. The outcomes have been designed to align with the requirements contained in the maturity level.
<b>NZISM Controls</b>	Relevant controls that provide additional detail to assist in implementing the Standard and meeting New Zealand Government compliance requirements.

# Capability Maturity Model

To provide greater clarity, the Standards have a maturity model built in. The Standards have been designed with **CS-CMM 2** as the minimum.

A description of each level is provided below:

<b>CS-CMM 5</b> <b>Optimising</b>	Security capability adapts to a dynamic, high-risk operating environment. Practices are generally recognised as world-leading and have near-real-time measurement and response mechanisms.
<b>CS-CMM 4</b> <b>Quantitatively controlled</b>	Security capability and performance is measured, monitored, and objectively and quantitatively controlled. Security measures are hardened in response to performance alerts. Security is a strategic focus for the organisation.
<b>CS-CMM 3</b> <b>Standardised</b>	Security capability is standardised, integrated, understood, and followed consistently across the enterprise. Security is well-governed and managed at an enterprise level.
<b>CS-CMM 2</b> <b>Planned &amp; Tracked</b>	Security capability is well-formed in designated business units. The security policies, capabilities, controls, and practices are in place and repeatable. They are designed to meet the organisation's core security requirements.
<b>CS-CMM 1</b> <b>Informal</b>	Security capability may be ad-hoc, unmanaged, or unpredictable. Success may rely on individuals rather than institutional capability.

Each maturity level has a number of specific requirements. This approach was chosen rather than providing an overarching statement, which often tends to be aspirational or open to interpretation.

Key to the development of the Standards is the ability to measure progress and maturity through a set of measures. The measures are also intended to help organisations plan for the maintenance and improvement of their cyber resilience, as well as to assist in identifying areas for potential future investment.

# Risk Management

## Standard Statement

Organisations have considered and assessed all risks and threats, including those for cyber security, and have put in place adequate measures that meet acceptable risk levels.

Organisations use a defined and documented risk-based approach to identify and control any new and evolving risks and threats, and to assist in the identification of potential areas for investment.

### Minimum Maturity Level: CS-CMM 2

CS-CMM 4 Quantitatively Controlled	Risks are regularly reviewed for changes in risk profile and corresponding controls for effectiveness.
	Emerging threats and vulnerabilities are mapped for relevance back to an organisation's risk profile.
	Identification and communication of risks occur organisation wide.
	Assessments are automated and dynamically adjusted in conjunction with changes in risk appetite, including external independent assessments.
CS-CMM 3 Standardised	A cyber risk framework is adopted; cyber security risks are bundled with other organisational risk areas.
	Risk tolerance is clearly defined, allowing for prioritisation and focused risk mitigation.
	Risks and associated mitigations have clearly identified individual owners.
	Cyber security risks from all areas of the business are assessed as part of the wider risk process.
	Identification and communication of risks occur between management and staff
	Risk assessments are undertaken regularly, the results are reported, and areas for improvement are actioned.
CS-CMM 2 Planned & Tracked	A cyber risk framework is adopted across the business, and cyber security risks identified.
	Risk tolerance is defined and applied, addressing critical business functions.
	Risks and associated mitigations may have non-specific or departmental owners.
	Awareness of changes to the threat landscape are regularly reviewed for relevance and impact.
	Identification and communication of risks is top-down.

<b>CS-CMM 1</b> <b>Informal</b>	<p>Some risk management processes exist, but do not conform to a standard and/or only include traditional business and financial risks.</p> <p>Risk tolerance is not clearly defined, resulting in inconsistent prioritisation and criticality of any remedial work.</p> <p>Ownership of risk is unclear or not appropriately assigned.</p>
------------------------------------	---

## Focus areas

- Business-critical systems.
- Externally facing systems.

## Intent of the Standard

Organisations must actively identify, assess, and manage risks across the business as part of their day-to-day operations, including cyber security risks. The primary purpose of a defined risk management approach is to allow for a common understanding of risks and threats, their impact, and to take the appropriate measures to reduce impacts, in case they eventuate, to an accepted level.

By implementing this Standard, organisations will be able to ensure identified risks have adequate measures in place to mitigate those risks to pre-agreed levels. In particular, they will:

- have clearly defined acceptable residual risk levels to help inform mitigation and investment decisions.
- ensure risks are identified and managed beyond the traditional business and financial risks.
- have cyber security risk handled as part of the organisation's risk management, rather than separately.
- continually track mitigated risks and the management of any residual risk.
- obtain assurance that their current and planned mitigations are adequately

- designed to meet the changing threat landscape.
- ensure that security assurance activities effectively identify emerging threats and trends that may have an adverse impact.
- ensure that accountability, responsibility, and ownership of risks are clearly assigned to those who have control over the system/risk management.

Implementing these activities will assist organisations to protect data and ensure availability, enabling operational activities to continue unimpeded.

## Suggested actions

The following list is not exhaustive. Organisations should identify which actions are appropriate to implement the Standard based on their current maturity level. However, the following actions follow good-practice guidelines:

- Adopt an industry-standard risk management approach for the organisation (for example ISO 31000 or the NIST Risk Management Framework).
- Develop risk tolerance levels with executive and governance to help inform the organisation's risk mitigation strategies.
- Define accountabilities and ownership within the organisation for risk, including those for cyber security risk.

- Risk remediation is prioritised and undertaken according to a combined likelihood and impact assessment, and the organisation's defined risk appetite.
- Cyber security risk profiles are regularly reviewed and updated to reflect an organisation's risk exposure.
- Cyber security policies and procedures are developed and implemented to assist organisations to meet business outcomes.
- Emerging threats and vulnerabilities are mapped for relevance back to an organisation's cyber risk profile.
- Existence of formalised risk acceptance through certification and accreditation policy and procedures.

## Key dependencies

- A digital asset inventory exists and is kept up to date.
- Channels for identifying, assessing, and reporting threats and risks exist.
- Organisations have identified their critical information and digital assets.

## Measurable outcomes

- An industry-standard risk management approach is used by the organisation.
- Risk assessments are undertaken regularly, the results are reported, and areas for improvement are actioned.
- Risk and associated mitigations are prioritised, reflecting the organisation's risk appetite and risk evaluation.
- Risks have clearly defined owners and regular review dates.
- An organisation can demonstrate a coordinated approach to identifying new and emerging threats across the cyber landscape.
- Supply chain risks are identified, assessed, and managed as part of the wider risk management program.
- Cyber security risks are handled as part of the organisation's wider risk management process. These broadly cover physical security, personnel security, and information security.

## Applicable NZISM Controls

CONTROL REF	CONTROL DESCRIPTION	CID				
<b>3.2.12.C.03.</b>	The CISO SHOULD work with business teams to facilitate security risk analysis and security risk management processes, including the identification of acceptable levels of risk consistently across the agency.	329				
<b>5.3.6.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD determine agency and system specific security risks that could warrant additional controls to those specified in this manual.	802				
<b>5.3.7.C.01.</b>	The Security Risk Management Plan SHOULD contain a security risk assessment and a corresponding treatment strategy.	805				
<b>5.3.8.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD incorporate their SRMP into their wider agency risk management plan.	808				
<b>5.3.9.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD develop their SRMP in accordance with international standards for risk management.	812				
<b>6.1.7.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD undertake and document information security reviews of their systems at least annually.	1040				
<b>6.1.8.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD have information security reviews conducted by personnel independent to the target of the review or by an independent third party.	1043				
<b>6.1.9.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD review the components detailed in the table below. Agencies SHOULD also ensure that any adjustments and changes as a result of any vulnerability analysis are consistent with the vulnerability disclosure policy.	1048				
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Component</td><td>Review</td></tr> <tr> <td>Threats</td><td>Changes in threat environment and risk profile.</td></tr> </table>			Component	Review	Threats	Changes in threat environment and risk profile.
Component	Review					
Threats	Changes in threat environment and risk profile.					
<b>6.2.6.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD analyse and treat all vulnerabilities and subsequent security risks to their systems identified during a vulnerability assessment.	1069				
<b>6.2.4.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD implement a vulnerability analysis strategy by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>monitoring public domain information about new vulnerabilities in operating systems and application software,</li> <li>considering the use of automated tools to perform vulnerability assessments on systems in a controlled manner,</li> <li>running manual checks against system configurations to ensure that only allowed services are active and that disallowed services are prevented,</li> <li>using security checklists for operating systems and common applications, and</li> <li>examining any significant incidents on the agency's systems.</li> </ul>	1063				

# Security Awareness

## Standard Statement

The security awareness training provided is in-line with the organisation's risk posture and is relevant to staff. All security awareness training is continually developed to reflect changes in business, technology, and the threat landscape.

### Minimum Maturity Level: CS-CMM 2

<b>CS-CMM 4</b> <b>Quantitatively Controlled</b>	<p>Security awareness training is conducted at induction and throughout the year through several approaches, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Training on any new systems, policies, or threats.</li> <li>• Prompts and warnings and how these should be analysed and responded to.</li> <li>• Memorandums and emails.</li> <li>• Ongoing campaigns aligned with broader industry initiatives.</li> </ul> <p>Security policies and guidelines are regularly reviewed, updated, and communicated to all staff.</p> <p>Staff are given focused security training for the roles they hold within the organisation.</p> <p>Testing to validate the effectiveness of training is undertaken and results reported.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 3</b> <b>Standardised</b>	<p>Staff are given security awareness training regularly throughout their employment, aligned with broader industry initiatives and reflective of the organisation's specific threat landscape.</p> <p>Security policies are kept up-to-date, are published, and are accessible to all staff.</p> <p>Security requirements are embedded throughout organisational business-as-usual activities and included in employees' job descriptions.</p> <p>Access to systems is secured through successful completion of training by integrated and automated methods.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 2</b> <b>Planned &amp; Tracked</b>	<p>Staff are given dedicated security awareness training when onboarded, to cover:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approved systems and usage.</li> <li>• Password management.</li> <li>• Security risks and threats.</li> <li>• Locations of security policies and guidelines.</li> </ul>

	<p>Security awareness training is reviewed and updated regularly and is part of the organisation's training programme.</p>
	<p>Security awareness updates are reported to appropriate levels of seniority within an organisation.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 1</b> <b>Informal</b>	<p>Security awareness training is provided on an ad-hoc basis.</p>
	<p>Security awareness training material is reviewed and updated sporadically.</p>

## Focus areas

- All organisational staff.

## Intent of the Standard

People can be both the biggest asset and the biggest liability when it comes to cyber security risks. This Standard seeks to ensure staff have the appropriate context, understanding, and awareness of cyber security to undertake their day-to-day jobs in a safe manner.

Through security awareness, an organisation can foster an environment where security is a primary consideration, in the same way that financial, operational, health and safety, and technical considerations are today.

Organisations will provide the necessary training and guidance to enable safe usage of the approved systems and applications. Any such training needs to be maintained, so that security awareness remains relevant.

## Suggested actions

The following list is not exhaustive. Organisations should identify which actions are appropriate to implement the Standard, based on their current maturity level. However, these actions follow good-practice guidelines:

- Develop both onboarding and ongoing security awareness training programmes for staff at all levels of the organisation.
- Guidance and training for staff on the safe usage of information systems is provided and routinely reviewed to ensure it aligns with the organisation's security posture.
- Ensuring acceptable use or other cyber policies contain clear expectations on allowed and prohibited usage.
- Compliance with associated policies is undertaken and the results are reported.
- Develop and deploy role-based training programmes for staff in specialised roles.

## Key dependencies

- Threats and risks are identified.
- Acceptable tool inventory, policies, standards, and procedures exist.
- Support and endorsement for security awareness training has been obtained from management.
- Guidelines for staff when seeking guidance on cyber security issues are in place.

## Measurable outcomes

- Cyber security awareness training programmes and guidance are included throughout staff employment lifecycles.
- Regular communication occurs, reinforcing expected and prohibited cyber security activities from all staff.
- Staff demonstrate an understanding of expected behaviours.
- Staff demonstrate an understanding of prohibited activities.
- Staff are empowered and encouraged to highlight security risks, issues, suspected compromises, or anomalies.
- Channels exist to facilitate communication, between management and staff.
- Security awareness programmes are in place.
- Online courses, modules, and education days, are required to be completed by staff.

## Applicable NZISM Controls

CONTROL REF	CONTROL DESCRIPTION	CID
<b>3.2.18.C.01.</b>	The CISO SHOULD be responsible for overseeing the development and operation of information security awareness and training programs within the agency.	351
<b>3.3.8.C.03.</b>	ITSMs SHOULD select and coordinate the implementation of controls to support and enforce information security policies.	393
<b>3.3.8.C.04.</b>	ITSMs SHOULD provide leadership and direction for the integration of information security strategies and architecture with agency business and ICT strategies and architecture.	394
<b>3.3.10.C.02.</b>	ITSMs SHOULD monitor and report on compliance with information security policies, as well as the enforcement of information security policies within the agency.	402
<b>3.3.13.C.01.</b>	ITSMs SHOULD provide or arrange for the provision of information security awareness and training for all agency personnel.	413
<b>3.3.13.C.02.</b>	ITSMs SHOULD develop technical information materials and workshops on information security trends, threats, good practices and control mechanisms as appropriate.	414
<b>9.1.4.C.01.</b>	Agency management MUST ensure that all personnel who have access to a system have sufficient training and ongoing information security awareness.	1449
<b>9.1.5.C.01.</b>	Agencies MUST provide ongoing information security awareness and a training programme for personnel on topics such as responsibilities, legislation and regulation, consequences of non-compliance with information security policies and procedures, and potential security risks and counter-measures.	1452
<b>9.1.5.C.02.</b>	Agencies MUST provide information security awareness training as part of their employee induction programmes.	1453
<b>9.1.6.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD align the detail, content and coverage of information security awareness and training programmes to system user responsibilities.	1457
<b>9.3.5.C.01.</b>	Agencies MUST make their system users aware of the agency's Web usage policies.	1532
<b>9.3.5.C.02.</b>	Personnel MUST formally acknowledge and accept agency Web usage policies.	1533
<b>14.3.5.C.01.</b>	Agencies MUST develop and implement a policy governing appropriate Web usage.	1272
<b>15.1.18.C.01.</b>	Agencies MUST make their system users aware of the agency's email usage policies.	1726

<b>16.1.44.C.03.</b>	Agency log-on banners SHOULD cover issues such as:	1901
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the system's classification,</li> <li>• access only being permitted to authorised system users,</li> <li>• the system user's agreement to abide by relevant security policies,</li> <li>• the system user's awareness of the possibility that system usage is being monitored,</li> <li>• the definition of acceptable use for the system, and</li> <li>• legal ramifications of violating the relevant policies.</li> </ul>	
<b>16.4.43.C.01.</b>	Agencies MUST implement a Privileged Access Management (PAM) policy training module as part of the agency's overall user training and awareness requirement.	6868
<b>16.7.44.C.01</b>	When agencies' implement MFA they MUST ensure users have an understanding of the risks, and include appropriate usage and safeguards for MFA in the organisation's user training and awareness programmes	6960
<b>20.1.27.C.01.</b>	Agencies MUST develop and implement user awareness and training programmes to support and enable safe use of cloud services (See Section 9.1 – Information Security Awareness and Training).	4854

# Assets and their Importance

## Standard Statement

Organisations have a framework and process to enable timely asset identification and importance.

### Minimum Maturity Level: CS-CMM 2

<b>CS-CMM 4</b> <b>Quantitatively Controlled</b>	<p>The organisation has a continuous monitoring regime in place for tracking and recording any changes in assets.</p> <p>Risks are identified and managed through formalised processes.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 3</b> <b>Standardised</b>	<p>The organisation has a comprehensive inventory of assets, including hardware, software, and data (including cloud).</p> <p>Assets are classified based on their criticality, sensitivity, and importance to the organisation.</p> <p>All assets have an owner that complies with the organisation's policy, to help manage shadow IT.</p> <p>The organisation's procurement policies require the security team's endorsement prior to asset acquisitions being confirmed or completed.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 2</b> <b>Planned &amp; Tracked</b>	<p>The organisation has a basic inventory of assets, including hardware, software, and data (including cloud).</p> <p>An agreed policy on asset classification exists and is applied to critical systems and externally facing systems.</p> <p>Ownership of assets is based on their criticality and impact.</p> <p>Security requirements are included within the asset and service acquisitioning process.</p> <p>The organisation has an asset management policy in place that includes end-of-life or end-of-support processes.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 1</b> <b>Informal</b>	<p>The organisation does not have a clear understanding of which assets they have, their importance, or where they are located.</p> <p>Assets are classified by individuals, inconsistently marked, and based on an educated guess by the user.</p>

## Focus areas

- Corporate network systems.
- Cloud services (private, semi-public, public), as-a-service delivery, internally facing systems.
- Externally facing/internet-facing systems.

## Intent of Standard

Organisations need to protect their assets. There are many asset types, including intellectual property and customer data, IT and OT assets (hardware and software), and people and their skills. This Standard focuses on identifying assets in a cyber security context and understanding their importance so that the appropriate controls to achieve security objectives can be applied. This includes third-party managed services that process and protect organisational assets.

Implementing this Standard will help to identify and prioritise assets that provide and support critical functions to an organisation using a risk-based approach. This Standard intends to address:

- **Identification of assets.**  
Understanding which assets are critical to the organisation is the first step before identifying and implementing controls to manage the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of these assets. Organisations must also understand which dependencies exist between assets located either on-site or externally.
- **Establishing an asset lifecycle management process.**  
Having a good asset management process will help in the deliberate and active management of an asset throughout its life while accounting for its total cost of ownership. This may include legacy assets and

as-a-service (aaS) offerings. An organisation must ensure assets nearing the end of their supportable life are replaced before they are no longer supportable.

- **Risk Management.**

Organisations will be able to apply appropriate controls once levels of risk have been identified. Implementing this Standard will require organisations to undertake a risk assessment.

Identifying and understanding assets and their importance in your organisation will enable the application of appropriate security controls, which may include but is not limited to: monitoring, patch management (see [Patching Standard](#)), and hardening. It may also identify opportunities for procedural changes in processes for incident management, data recovery, and response planning (See Data Recovery and Response Planning standards).

## Suggested actions

The following list is not exhaustive. Organisations should identify which actions are appropriate to implement the Standard based on their current maturity level. However, the following actions follow good-practice guidelines:

- Establishing an asset lifecycle management policy and procedure(s).
- Identifying and maintaining a current asset inventory - for hardware and software - that has the appropriate minimum configuration items listed, such as:
  - Application name
  - Business owner
  - Licensing model
  - Server/instance names
  - IP address & URL (if web-based)
  - Vital dependencies (i.e. other systems, networks, etc.)

- Other supporting information such as C&A artefacts and privacy impact assessments.
- Establishing business owners for business-critical systems, software, and applications, and ensuring they fully understand their role and responsibilities as an owner.
- Ensuring that procurement policies satisfy security requirements prior to asset acquisitions.
- Procurement of assets is not typically allowed via corporate credit cards. Where this is permitted, ensure asset invoices are reconciled to procurement tools.
- Continuous monitoring is in place to detect, manage, and track the movement and usage of assets, including oversight of the supply chain.
- Identifying key personnel involved in the management of assets and systems to enable the identification of single points of failure.
- Asset governance model that accounts for procurement, onboarding, deployment, recovery, and disposal of assets.
- Software dependencies have been identified and managed.

## Key dependencies

- An asset management tool exists, including resourcing to operate the tool.
- A risk management strategy that includes a defined acceptable risk level exists.
- A defined governance process (e.g. business impact analysis) for rating applications or systems as critical.
- Sufficient capacity and capability to risk-assess critical assets for vulnerabilities and weaknesses.
- A procurement process involves asset management.
- Asset identification methodology is in place.

## Measurable outcomes

- An asset registry is kept current and regularly reviewed against risks.
- Critical assets, and all dependencies (e.g. third-party software libraries) to operate these, have been identified and regularly reviewed.
- Organisations have a current asset inventory (for example hardware, software, licences, version numbers).
- Organisations embed asset management processes and procedures into their procurement/sourcing process.
- Organisations have asset lifecycle management policies and procedures, ensuring that all assets are always supportable.
- Business owners are assigned for critical software and applications, and they are also responsible for documenting and communicating any changes in the asset to all relevant support units or key stakeholders.
- Demonstrate an understanding of the total cost of ownership (TCO) of assets for future years.

## Applicable NZISM Controls

CONTROL REF	CONTROL DESCRIPTION	CID
<b>3.4.10.C.01.</b>	Each system MUST have a system owner who is responsible for the operation and maintenance of the system.	442
<b>3.4.10.C.02.</b>	System owners SHOULD be a member of the Senior Executive Team or an equivalent management position, for large or critical agency systems.	443
<b>5.1.9.C.01.</b>	Agencies MUST ensure that every system is covered by a Security Risk Management Plan, which includes identification of risk owners.	699
<b>5.3.8.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD incorporate their SRMP into their wider agency risk management plan.	808
<b>8.4.8.C.01.</b>	Agencies MUST account for all IT equipment containing media	1400
<b>12.1.30.C.03.</b>	Agencies SHOULD select products in the following order of preference: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a protection profile (PP) evaluated product,</li> <li>• products having completed an evaluation through the AISEP or recognised under the Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement (CCRA),</li> <li>• products in evaluation in the AISEP,</li> <li>• products in evaluation in a scheme where the outcome will be recognised by the GCSB when the evaluation is complete, or</li> <li>• If products do not fall within any of these categories, normal selection criteria (such as functionality and security) will apply.</li> </ul>	3287
<b>12.7.14.C.03.</b>	Agencies SHOULD follow the Government Rules of Procurement.	3639
<b>13.1.9.C.01.</b>	When the Information System reaches the end of its service life in an organisation, policy and procedures SHOULD be in place to ensure secure decommissioning and transfer or disposal, in order to satisfy corporate, legal and statutory requirements.	3829
<b>13.1.13.C.01.</b>	The Agency's Accreditation Authority SHOULD confirm IA compliance on decommissioning and disposal	3850
<b>13.1.13.C.03.</b>	The Agency's Accreditation Authority SHOULD confirm asset register updates.	3853
<b>20.2.15.C.07.</b>	Agencies SHOULD implement security and operational management and monitoring tools which include the following minimum capabilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify VMs when initiated,</li> <li>• Validate integrity of files prior to installation,</li> </ul>	4909

- Scan new VMs for vulnerabilities and misconfigurations,
- Load only minimum operating system components and services,
- Set resource usage limits,
- Establish connections to peripherals only as required,
- Ensure host and guest time synchronisation,
- Detect snapshot rollbacks and scans after restores,
- Track asset migration, and
- Monitor the security posture of migrated assets.

---

# Secure Configuration of Software

## Standard Statement

Organisations shall adopt a secure-by-design approach when implementing new software within their environments.

Organisations shall consider industry best-practice and vendor guidance on secure configuration of software and shall not rely on software defaults.

### Minimum Maturity Level: CS-CMM 2

<b>CS-CMM 4</b> <b>Quantitatively Controlled</b>	<p>Configurations are locked and proactively and continuously monitored for deviations from approved templates.</p> <p>Changes or updates to a baseline security configuration triggers alerts across all applicable systems.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 3</b> <b>Standardised</b>	<p>Baseline security configuration guides are regularly updated and reviewed to include any new options, features, or capabilities enabled through updates.</p> <p>Legacy platforms are reviewed against a baseline security configuration.</p> <p>Regular configuration audits across critical systems and platforms are undertaken and reported on.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 2</b> <b>Planned &amp; Tracked</b>	<p>Baseline security configuration guides are developed, incorporating vendor and best-practice publications.</p> <p>All new systems adhere to the baseline security configuration.</p> <p>Updates to software are reviewed for configuration changes prior to deployment.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 1</b> <b>Informal</b>	<p>Baseline security configuration guides do not exist, and best-practice adherence is ad-hoc.</p> <p>Systems are likely to be inconsistently configured, with the risk of insecure defaults being enabled.</p>

## Focus areas

- Corporate networks.
- Cloud services.
- Operating systems and deployed software.
- Internally facing systems.
- External/internet-facing systems.
- System and software developers and application support teams.
- Third-party vendors who provide and are responsible for software.

## Intent of the Standard

Default configurations on software and applications can leave organisations insecure and vulnerable to exploitation by malicious actors. This Standard aims to focus efforts on the reviewing and updating of configurations for new and existing software, and to adopt secure implementation practices.

Implementation of this Standard will reduce security vulnerabilities in an organisation's environment and introduce processes for the secure implementation of software. Some of the concerns this Standard aims to address include:

- Use of default credentials (admin) on software and applications.
- Use of default, insecure configuration settings.
- Use of insecure services and protocols.
- Lack of awareness of enabled services and interfaces.
- Lack of awareness in the changes in environment post-software changes/updates.

The guidance provided within this Standard proposes that organisations commit to adopting best practices and application-

hardening recommendations during implementation, as well as conducting regular audits to confirm compliance. The degree of hardening will vary depending on the risk appetite acceptable to an organisation. This includes but is not limited to:

- Referring to vendor guidelines for software/application hardening.
- Following organisational processes and procedures for change management.
- Adhering to best practices for securing and updating software/applications.
- Undertaking periodic audits of compliance to the approved configuration.
- Undertaking periodic updates of the configuration guidelines.

Organisations with a software development function should adopt a Secure Software Development Life Cycle approach to integrating security practices and considerations at every phase of the Software Development Life Cycle (SDLC). This enables organisations to identify security issues early in the software development phase and address them.

## Suggested actions

The following list is not exhaustive. Organisations should identify which actions are appropriate to implement the Standard based on their current maturity level. However, the following actions follow good-practice guidelines:

- Allocating business owners for business-critical systems, software, and applications.
- Developing a baseline requirement for secure software or application configuration from vendor recommendations and best practice. For example, disabling unnecessary

services, insecure ports, and protocols. Enabling encryption for data at rest and in transit.

- Implementing a process to include a technical review of any security changes for software and applications.
- Implementing a process to audit configurations regularly, ensuring adherence to the agreed baseline.
- Implementing a process to regularly update the baselines to capture any configuration option changes through the life of the software or platform.
- Including vendor contract clauses, noting the requirements for maintaining secure development practices for services provided.

## Key dependencies

- Change-management process exists.
- Sufficient resourcing and capacity available to assess technical risks.
- A risk management strategy and defined acceptable risk level.
- Asset inventory that is regularly updated.
- Patch evaluation or testing process is in place.
- Patch compliance monitoring is undertaken.
- Understanding corporate data and corresponding information flows.

- Organisations have change-management processes to review, test, and approve patches prior to being deployed into production.
- Organisations have a test environment for new software and updates.
- Organisations have contractual commitments from vendors, ensuring secure development practices including secure-by-default/secure-by-design practices are undertaken.
- Organisations adopt a secure-by-design policy and establish a baseline requirement for secure configuration.
- An ongoing programme of configuration review against approved configuration templates.
- An ongoing programme that reviews configuration templates to address changes over time of the configuration options available.

## Measurable outcomes

- Organisations have identified business-critical systems and applications.
- Organisations embed security requirements, including secure-by-design/secure-by-default development practices, into their procurement or sourcing process.

## Applicable NZISM Controls

CONTROL REF	CONTROL DESCRIPTION	CID
<b>3.3.6.C.03.</b>	ITSMs SHOULD consult with ICT project personnel to ensure that information security is included in the evaluation, selection, installation, configuration and operation of IT equipment and software.	381
<b>3.3.6.C.05.</b>	ITSMs SHOULD be included in the agency's change management and change control processes to ensure that risks are properly identified, and controls are properly applied to manage those risks.	384
<b>5.4.5.C.02.</b>	Agencies SHOULD use the latest baseline of this manual when developing, and updating, their SSPs as part of the certification, accreditation and reaccreditation of their systems.	829
<b>6.1.9.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD review the components detailed in the table below. Agencies SHOULD also ensure that any adjustments and changes as a result of any vulnerability analysis are consistent with the vulnerability disclosure policy.	1048
<b>14.1.9.C.01.</b>	Agencies MUST ensure that for all servers and workstations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a technical specification is agreed for each platform with specified controls,</li> <li>• a standard configuration created and updated for each operating system type and version,</li> <li>• system users do not have the ability to install or disable software without approval, and</li> <li>• installed software and operating system patching is up to date.</li> </ul>	1158
<b>14.2.7.C.02.</b>	Agencies SHOULD ensure that application allow listing is used in addition to a strong access control list model and the use of limited privilege accounts.	936

# Patching

## Standard Statement

Organisations have processes to identify, implement, and oversee security patches for their systems and applications, including levels around patch compliance.

### Minimum Maturity Level: CS-CMM 2

<b>CS-CMM 4</b> <b>Quantitatively Controlled</b>	<p>A formal patching policy and process exists that includes requirements for patch prioritisation within the overall context of the organisation.</p> <p>Adequate separation of duties is embedded throughout the patching process.</p> <p>Systems are retired, upgraded, or replaced at least 12 months before their end-of-support date or in accordance with the organisation's asset management policy.</p> <p>Audit of patches are undertaken that reconcile OS changes through to change requests.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 3</b> <b>Standardised</b>	<p>Criteria to prioritise patches is clearly defined and applied in-line with the organisation's risk management processes.</p> <p>A formal process to approve patches, including rollback procedures, exists in-line with the organisation's change and risk management processes.</p> <p>Systems are retired, upgraded, or replaced at least 6 months before their end-of-support date or in accordance with the organisation's asset management policy.</p> <p>A method to proactively identify applicable patch releases is in place.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 2</b> <b>Planned &amp; Tracked</b>	<p>Patch severity prioritisation criteria are in place.</p> <p>An approval process is in place to source and review patches.</p> <p>System replacement or upgrading for business-critical systems is identified and planned prior to retirement.</p> <p>Rollback procedures are in place if a patch deployment is unsuccessful.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 1</b> <b>Informal</b>	<p>Patching is undertaken on a reactive and ad-hoc basis and is only managed for vulnerabilities that are rated as severe or critical.</p> <p>Awareness of vulnerabilities is driven through the media and/or releases from relevant organisations, and word of mouth.</p> <p>System replacement or upgrading at end-of-support dates occurs only after expiration.</p>

## Focus areas

- Cloud services.
- System and software support.
- Vulnerability scanning and identification.
- Third-party vendors who are responsible for an organisation's patching.
- Any other system required to conduct core business.
- Any other system required to connect with any other organisation (foreign or domestic) and/or the New Zealand public.

## Intent of the Standard

Organisations must strive to protect information assets from attacks that may result in information being stolen or compromised. The primary purpose of patching is to remediate security vulnerabilities in operating systems, applications, and other digitally connected environments.

By implementing this Standard, organisations will be able to better understand their attack surface and manage and prioritise their patching requirements. This will reduce the likelihood of vulnerabilities being exploited either internally or externally to the organisation. In particular:

- Reducing the opportunity for known vulnerabilities to be exploited by adversaries and gaining a foothold into a system.
- Reducing the opportunity for launching from that foothold to move laterally around your computer systems

- Maintaining an accurate inventory of all systems and applications, so you can swiftly deploy any patches or alternative mitigations that may be required.
- Reducing the likelihood of legacy vulnerabilities being the cause of compromises.

Addressing these key risks will assist organisations to protect data and ensure system and data availability, enabling operational activities to continue unimpeded.

The guidance provided in this Standard is intended to allow organisations to embed patching as part of their IT and business service delivery processes. This includes mechanisms to monitor sources for vulnerabilities, a process to oversee patching (including adequate separation of duties between individuals throughout the distribution process), and to regularly report on compliance levels so they meet acceptable risk standards defined by the organisation.

## Suggested actions

The following list is not exhaustive. Organisations should identify which actions are appropriate to implement the Standard based on their current maturity level. However, the following actions follow good-practice guidelines:

- Development of a patch management policy that includes responsibilities, patch severity thresholds, and alternate mitigation processes in the event a vulnerability goes unpatched.
- Development and maintenance of a current asset inventory.
- Patch detection mechanisms are in place to regularly identify relevant patches.

- Application of all critical-rated security patches within two days (whether working days or not) of the release of the patch or update on external-facing systems, or where working exploits exist, and within two weeks on internal systems.
- Patch versions are registered and linked to the asset registry to provide oversight.
- Patches and upgrades come from reliable sources only.
- Planning for funding of upgrades and retirement of systems and software that no longer has vendor support for patching, well prior to the end of support date.
- Employees have mandated responsibility for patching as part of their job duties.
- Organisations have a patch management policy, including requirements for patch severity, risk levels, and patching timeliness as required by the NZISM.
- Organisations have a test environment or pilot users to trial patches on.
- Organisations show an ongoing programme of work where end-of-support systems are identified, tracked, upgraded, retired, or replaced well before the operational and security lifecycle ends (and extended support offerings are only used while the replacement of these systems is taking place).
- Change-control process is in place to review, test, and approve patches being installed into production.

## Key dependencies

- A risk management strategy and defined acceptable risk level exists.
- An asset inventory exists and is kept up to date.
- Capability exists that enables identification of relevant patches.
- A patch evaluation or testing process exists.
- Rollback capacity/capabilities (if required).
- Regime to monitor patch compliance monitoring exists.
- Contract SLAs include patching requirements.

## Measurable outcomes

- Organisations have a current asset inventory (for example, hardware/software, licences, and versions numbers).
- Existence of—and investment in—patch management software, services, or other tools.

## Applicable NZISM Controls

CONTROL REF	CONTROL DESCRIPTION	CID
<b>12.4.3.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD monitor relevant sources for information about new vulnerabilities and security patches for software and IT equipment used by the agency.	3444
<b>12.4.4.C.02.</b>	Agencies MUST implement a patch management strategy, including an evaluation or testing process.	3449
<b>12.4.4.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD apply all critical security patches as soon as possible and preferably within two (2) days of the release of the patch or update.	3448
<b>12.4.4.C.05.</b>	Agencies SHOULD apply all non-critical security patches as soon as possible.	3452
<b>12.4.4.C.06.</b>	Agencies SHOULD ensure that security patches are applied through a vendor recommended patch or upgrade process.	3453
<b>13.1.9.C.01.</b>	When the Information System reaches the end of its service life in an organisation, policy and procedures SHOULD be in place to ensure secure decommissioning and transfer or disposal, in order to satisfy corporate, legal and statutory requirements.	3829

# Multi-factor Authentication

## Standard Statement

Multi-factor authentication (MFA) is adopted by organisations to assist in protecting business-critical and external-facing systems from unauthorised access, misuse, or compromise.

### Minimum Maturity Level: CS-CMM 2

<b>CS-CMM 4</b> <b>Quantitatively Controlled</b>	<p>MFA is required for all entities and applied across all systems.</p> <p>All successful and unsuccessful MFA authentication logs are retained and reviewed.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 3</b> <b>Standardised</b>	<p>MFA is used when users authenticate to externally facing systems, business-critical systems, and for core network access.</p> <p>MFA is required to be used by privileged users and cannot be bypassed unless within a managed 'break glass' scenario.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 2</b> <b>Planned &amp; Tracked</b>	<p>MFA is used when users authenticate to business-critical and externally facing systems.</p> <p>MFA is used by an organisation when authenticating to third-party services.</p> <p>Privileged users are required to have MFA, and all unsuccessful MFA authentication logs are retained and reviewed.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 1</b> <b>Informal</b>	<p>MFA is available on some systems and users are required to enable any MFA themselves.</p> <p>No oversight or auditing exists for MFA usage.</p>

## Focus areas

- Cloud services.
- Remote access.
- Standard user accounts.
- Privileged user accounts.
- Core network access.

## Intent of the Standard

Organisations have a duty of care to ensure their critical and sensitive information is adequately protected and that requests to access, modify, transmit, or delete information are to authorised personnel only.

It is important that organisations have put in place appropriate multi-layered preventive and protective measures, beyond

conventional username and password authentication requirements. This will further bolster resilience levels, should the first level of authentication be compromised.

Authentication factors can be broadly defined as having the following attributes and characteristics:

- Knowledge factor.
- Possession factor.
- Inherence factor.

MFA verifies a user's identity using multiple credentials, which may be of the same factor or type.

## Suggested actions

The following list is not exhaustive. Organisations should identify which actions are appropriate to implement the Standard based on their current maturity level. However, the following actions follow good-practice guidelines:

- Organisations undertake an asset classification exercise to identify their business-critical and sensitive systems.
- Organisations decide on an MFA delivery option and costings.
- Where possible, include MFA within the Identity Provider (IdP) platform using Single Sign-On (SSO).
- Training, documentation, support, and user acceptance procedures are developed and delivered.

- Availability of authenticators (e.g. tokens, smart cards).
- Software (e.g. Google or Microsoft Authenticator).
- Biometrics (e.g. thumbprint, facial recognition).
- Monitoring, logging, and alerting functionality/capability exists.
- User acceptance of user agreements is in place.
- Development and ongoing delivery of user awareness/training material has been created.

## Measurable outcomes

- MFA is implemented for business-critical and internet-facing systems, and for privileged accounts.
- Funding for MFA monitoring, alerting, and operational management is included in budgets.
- Monitoring/logging to track operational performance, or for security-related events, is in place.
- Inventory or asset listing of MFA hardware.
- Evidence of security testing and/or other forms of assurance that the MFA system is secure.
- A lifecycle management process for MFA tokens, including resetting of privileged user tokens, has been developed.

## Key dependencies

- An up-to-date understanding of critical business and internet-facing systems and roles.
- Availability of hardware (for example, organisation-issued key fobs, YubiKey).

## Applicable NZISM Controls

CONTROL REF	CONTROL DESCRIPTION	CID
<b>16.4.37.C.02.</b>	Agencies MUST use two-factor or Multi-Factor Authentication to allow access to privileged accounts.	6843
<b>16.7.41.C.01.</b>	Agencies MUST undertake a risk analysis before designing and implementing MFA.	6948
<b>16.7.42.C.01.</b>	Where an agency has external facing systems, cloud-based services, or is authenticating to third-party services, they MUST: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• require MFA for all user accounts, and</li> <li>• implement a secure, multi-factor process to allow entities to reset their standard user credentials.</li> </ul>	7563
<b>16.7.42.C.02.</b>	Where an agency has implemented MFA they MUST: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• require MFA for administrative or other high privileged users, and</li> <li>• implement a secure, multi-factor process to allow entities to reset their standard user credentials.</li> </ul>	6953
<b>16.7.42.C.03.</b>	Agencies MUST implement MFA on all user accounts with remote access to organisational resources.	7564
<b>16.7.42.C.04.</b>	Agencies SHOULD implement MFA on all user accounts with access to organisational resources.	7565
<b>16.7.42.C.07.</b>	The design of an agency's MFA SHOULD include consideration of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Risk identification.</li> <li>• Level of security and access control appropriate for each aspect of an organisation's information systems (data, devices, equipment, storage, cloud, etc.)</li> <li>• A formal authorisation process for user system access and entitlements.</li> <li>• Logging, monitoring and reporting of activity,</li> <li>• Review of logs for orphaned accounts and inappropriate user access including unsuccessful authentication,</li> <li>• Identification of error and anomalies which may indicate inappropriate or malicious activity,</li> <li>• Incident response,</li> <li>• Remediation of errors,</li> <li>• Suspension and/or revocation of access rights where policy violations occur,</li> <li>• Capacity planning.</li> </ul>	6952
<b>16.7.43.C.01.</b>	The design of an organisations MFA system SHOULD be integrated with the agency's Information Security Policy, the agency's Privileged Access Management (PAM) Policy, and any additional agency password policies.	6956

**16.7.44.C.01.** When agencies' implement MFA they MUST ensure users have an understanding of the risks and include appropriate usage and safeguards for MFA in the organisation's user training and awareness programmes. 6960

---

# Detect Unusual Behaviour

## Standard Statement

Organisations have implemented a process to detect abnormal activity within their environments, including actions to enable timely and effective mitigations.

### Minimum Maturity Level: CS-CMM 2

<b>CS-CMM 4</b> <b>Quantitatively Controlled</b>	<p>Network and infrastructure monitoring is elevated to include per-application identification and trend reporting to identify unusual traffic.</p> <p>Implement advanced baselining and detection techniques, including artificial intelligence/machine learning (AI/ML) analysis of all logs.</p> <p>Auto-mitigations are implemented on systems.</p> <p>Use of the network, systems, and tools are tied to a user's identity.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 3</b> <b>Standardised</b>	<p>All environments including cloud are centrally monitored, correlated, and analysed for indicators of unusual behaviour and compromise.</p> <p>Ongoing tuning of indicators is undertaken to reduce the level of false positives.</p> <p>Ongoing updating of baseline activity is undertaken to aid in the identification of exceptions.</p> <p>Monitoring and alerting of infrastructure utilisation, including privileged and standard user activity, server, compute, and network is maintained to identify exceptions in behaviour.</p> <p>Introduce automatic mitigation of known bad scenarios, e.g. 'impossible travel'.</p> <p>Sufficient resources and capabilities exist to act on alerts as they arise.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 2</b> <b>Planned &amp; Tracked</b>	<p>Logs for business-critical and externally facing systems are stored centrally and analysed.</p> <p>A series of indicators is developed and manually applied to the logs for review, including repeated authentication failures and login attempts from unexpected or impossible locations.</p> <p>Use of—and changes to—privileged accounts or protected system files are alerted.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 1</b> <b>Informal</b>	<p>Logs are typically not centrally managed and/or contained within individual applications only.</p> <p>Logs are available to be reviewed but are not proactively monitored.</p>

	Logs that are centrally managed are done so on an ad-hoc/best effort basis.
--	---

## Focus areas

- Corporate network.
- Cloud services.
- Software as a service.
- Bring-your-own-device access.
- Internal systems.
- Domain Naming System (DNS).

## Intent of the Standard

To minimise the time to detect breaches and compromises, organisations need to be able to proactively monitor for any anomalous or unintended changes or activity within their environment. Early detection will assist in limiting the impact of any breach or compromise and enables organisations to activate steps that facilitate their containment and incident response processes.

For this to be successful, an understanding of the baseline operating environment and behaviour will aid in the early detection and identification of unusual or unexpected behaviour. Establishing and maintaining a baseline for an operating environment, in conjunction with regular reviews, will effectively reduce false-positive detection rates.

The area of anomalous behaviour detection is broad, and this Standard seeks to provide guidance on initial deployments. This Standard addresses the areas of successful and unsuccessful user authentication, privilege escalation, and infrastructure utilisation.

## Suggested actions

The following list is not exhaustive. Organisations should identify which actions are appropriate to implement the Standard based on their current maturity level. However, the following actions follow good-practice guidelines:

- Development of a baseline of utilisation for infrastructure.
- Monitoring failed login attempts, privileged operations, failed attempts to elevate privileges.
- Defining a tiered response plan (based on incident categorisation) to activate if unusual behaviour has been identified.
- Where possible, automatic responses such as lockouts on pre-determined repeated authentication failures are implemented.
- Allocating of resources to oversee and administer monitoring, detection and reporting.

## Key dependencies

- Centralised logging with adequate log retention function.
- Monitoring of infrastructure utilisation, including compute and network, occurs.
- Assets have been identified and their criticality evaluated.
- Threat intelligence capability to provide indicators of compromise exists.

## Measurable outcomes

- An ongoing trend/baseline of utilisation of infrastructure, including network telemetry, is maintained and reviewed against.

- Maintaining a predefined tiered response plan to identify unusual behaviour exists and is regularly tested and updated when required.
- Centralised immutable logging capability exists.
- Proactive monitoring and response to unusual behaviour such as:
  - Security-related system alerts and failures.
  - Modifications to permissions or protected system files.
  - Repeated login failures.
  - Authentication from unexpected or 'impossible travel' locations.
  - Activities outside of regular business hours.
  - Unexpected or unusual network and compute utilisation.

## Applicable NZISM Controls

CONTROL REF	CONTROL DESCRIPTION	CID
<b>6.2.5.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD conduct vulnerability assessments in order to establish a baseline. This SHOULD be done: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• before a system is first used,</li> <li>• after any significant incident,</li> <li>• after a significant change to the system,</li> <li>• after changes to standards, policies and guidelines,</li> <li>• when specified by an ITSM or system owner.</li> </ul>	1066
<b>16.3.5.C.01.</b>	Agencies MUST: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ensure strong change management practices are implemented,</li> <li>• ensure that the use of privileged accounts is controlled and accountable,</li> <li>• ensure that system administrators are assigned, and consistently use, an individual account for the performance of their administration tasks,</li> <li>• keep privileged accounts to a minimum, and</li> <li>• allow the use of privileged accounts for administrative work only.</li> </ul>	1945
<b>16.6.10.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD log the events listed in the table below for specific software components. (Please see NZISM Chapter 16 for complete table)	2012
<b>16.6.10.C.02.</b>	Agencies SHOULD log, at minimum, the following events for all software components: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Any login activity or attempts, all privileged operations,</li> <li>• failed attempts to elevate privileges,</li> <li>• security related system alerts and failures,</li> <li>• all software updates and/or patching,</li> <li>• system user and group additions, deletions and modification to permissions, and</li> <li>• unauthorised or failed access attempts to systems and files identified as critical to the organisation.</li> </ul>	2013
<b>18.4.9.C.01.</b>	Agencies MUST select IDS / IPS that monitor uncharacteristic and suspicious activities.	3815
<b>23.5.12.C.01.</b>	Agencies MUST ensure that cloud service provider logs are incorporated into overall enterprise logging and alerting systems or procedures in a timely manner to detect information security incidents.	7498
<b>23.5.12.C.02.</b>	Agencies SHOULD ensure that tools and procedures used to detect potential information security incidents account for the public cloud services being consumed by the agency.	7499

# Least Privilege

## Standard Statement

Organisational requirements incorporate the principle of least privilege when designing and authorising access to their systems.

### Minimum Maturity Level: CS-CMM 2

<b>CS-CMM 4</b> <b>Quantitatively Controlled</b>	<p>Formal oversight is in place and assurance is obtained that third parties also implement least privileged access for users and administrators on their platforms.</p> <p>Temporary accounts for administrative access is the preferred option.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 3</b> <b>Standardised</b>	<p>Local admin rights on workstations are granted by exception only.</p> <p>A formal process to grant, review, and remove access is in place and regularly monitored for compliance, and instances of non-compliance are resolved within agreed timeframes.</p> <p>Logging and monitoring for privileged user roles is independently reviewed and stored centrally.</p> <p>Temporary access is actively encouraged and supported across the organisation.</p> <p>A central register of all accounts is maintained.</p> <p>Just-in-time (JIT) access is actively encouraged where practical, and required where user separation cannot be achieved.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 2</b> <b>Planned &amp; Tracked</b>	<p>Local admin rights on workstations are limited where possible.</p> <p>A formal process to grant, review, and remove user access is in place and largely complied with.</p> <p>Logging and monitoring for privileged user roles is in place and regularly reviewed.</p> <p>Separate accounts are used for standard user and privileged user activity where possible.</p> <p>Systems and applications where least privileges are to be applied are identified.</p>

<b>CS-CMM 1</b> <b>Informal</b>	<p>Default user role settings are applied.</p> <p>User account management is initiated manually via changes.</p> <p>Ad-hoc and irregular reviews of user permissions may be undertaken.</p> <p>Ad-hoc and irregular logging and monitoring of privileged users is implemented.</p> <p>User roles are categorised by function, if at all.</p>
------------------------------------	--

## Focus areas

- User accounts.
- Privileged accounts.
- Shared accounts.
- Service accounts.
- Legacy systems.
- Cloud services.
- Critical business systems.
- Third party/vendor systems (such as SaaS environments).
- User access policy and procedures.

## Intent of the Standard

The principle of least privilege can be defined as an approach requiring users, applications, or processes to only have access to the minimum number of network and system permissions required to perform pre-approved functions.

Organisations that have legacy systems will especially find this Standard helpful. A number of legacy systems require access to a broad range of IP addresses, port ranges, and protocols to use modern applications. This provides the opportunity for vulnerabilities to be exploited.

The privileges a user requires to perform their role change over their time with an organisation, and often privileges are given but never revoked. This unintentional over-

provisioning increases the impact of any compromise of an account.

This Standard is intended to reduce the impact of attacks using existing access (through insider threat or account compromise) that could otherwise cause major impacts to an organisation.

Implementing this Standard will help organisations mitigate against the following risks:

- Damage caused by a malicious actor (including an insider threat) is contained to areas that they have permission in. For example, the spread of malware is limited to pre-approved locations.
- Attack surface areas are minimised.
- Risk of human error (e.g. reconfiguration) is largely mitigated by reducing the opportunities for lateral movement.

## Suggested actions

The following list is not exhaustive. Organisations should identify which actions are appropriate to implement the Standard based on their current maturity level. However, the following actions follow good-practice guidelines:

- Separate user credentials are allocated for standard-user and privileged-user accounts.

- A formal process of review and approval for granting privileged user access.
- Systems or applications where least privilege is to be applied are identified and approved.
- Roles and user groups are defined with permissions relevant to that role.
- Accounts are allocated into roles and user groups.
- Time and location-based restrictions are applied as appropriate for the role or system.
- System-hardening processes include changing all default passwords and disabling default accounts and services not being used.
- Regular audits are undertaken for usage, privileged users, and change to an account's password and permissions.
- Just-in-time (JIT) access control is implemented.
- Role-based access control (RBAC) is used to best reflect an individual user's privileges.
- Logging for privileged user access is monitored and stored in a central location.
- Ensure third parties are aware of and comply with an organisation's requirements around least privilege.
- Management support and expectations around user access.
- Policies set out expectations for what the default access level should be.

## Measurable outcomes

- Privileged user roles are defined based on the organisation's role settings.
- A management or directive exists that sets out expectations for least privilege as a default.
- An account register is maintained.
- Evidence of privileged user audits.
- All accounts have permissions relevant to their roles.
- Regular review of assigned users and account privileges.
- Least-privilege user permissions for roles are documented and reviewed on a regular basis.
- Evidence of review and monitoring of privileged user activity.
- Just-in-time (JIT) access is used to temporarily grant and revoke access.

## Key dependencies

- User permissions for roles have been defined.
- All systems have been identified.
- Privileged user lists are accurate and current to enable account permission settings and align individual users to accounts.
- Logging functionality is available.

## Applicable NZISM Controls

CONTROL REF	CONTROL DESCRIPTION	CID
<b>9.2.17.C.02.</b>	Agencies granting limited higher access to information or systems MUST ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the requirement to grant limited higher access is temporary in nature and is an exception rather than the norm,</li> <li>an ITSM has recommended the limited higher access,</li> <li>a cessation date for limited higher access has been set,</li> <li>the access period does not exceed two months,</li> <li>the limited higher access is granted on an occasional NOT non-ongoing basis,</li> <li>the system user is not granted privileged access to the system,</li> <li>the system user's access is formally documented, and</li> <li>the system user's access is approved by the CISO.</li> </ul>	1505
<b>16.4.37.C.01.</b>	Agencies MUST apply the Principle of Least Privilege when developing and implementing a Privileged Access Management (PAM) policy.	6842
<b>16.4.38.C.01.</b>	As part of a Privileged Access Management (PAM) policy, agencies MUST establish and implement a strong approval and authorisation process before any privileged access credentials are issued.	6846
<b>16.4.38.C.02.</b>	Privileged Access credentials MUST NOT be issued until approval has been formally granted.	6847
<b>16.4.41.C.02.</b>	Privileged account monitoring systems MUST monitor and record: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>individual user activity, including exceptions such as out of hours access,</li> <li>activity from unauthorised sources,</li> <li>any unusual use patterns, and</li> <li>any creation of unauthorised privileged access.</li> </ul>	6860
<b>16.4.41.C.03.</b>	Agencies MUST protect and limit access to activity and audit logs and records.	6861
<b>23.4.10.C.01.</b>	Agencies MUST apply the principle of least privilege and configure service endpoints to restrict access to authorised parties.	7466

# Data Recovery

## Standard Statement

Data recovery capabilities are adopted by organisations to assist in protecting business-critical and externally facing systems from risks concerning data loss.

### Minimum Maturity Level: CS-CMM 2

<b>CS-CMM 4</b> <b>Quantitatively Controlled</b>	Organisations have identified, regularly review, and update their data recovery requirements.
	Data recovery testing or auditing is undertaken on a regular basis, the results are communicated to management or applicable data owners, and any necessary remediations are undertaken accordingly.
	Roles and responsibilities for carrying out recovery activities are mapped to individual roles and tested during disaster recovery plan/business continuity plan (DRP/BCP) testing.
	Investment and/or funding to support data backup and recovery solutions is incorporated into business-as-usual.
<b>CS-CMM 3</b> <b>Standardised</b>	Organisations have identified their data recovery requirements.
	Data recovery testing and auditing is undertaken routinely, and the results are communicated to management and applicable data owners.
	Backups are taken of all systems, in line with data recovery requirements.
	Roles and responsibilities for carrying out recovery activities are mapped to individual roles.
<b>CS-CMM 2</b> <b>Planned &amp; Tracked</b>	Organisations have identified their data recovery requirements for critical systems.
	Data recovery testing is undertaken at pre-defined levels.
	Backups of critical systems are taken.
	Organisations have in place relevant documentation to support data recovery.
<b>CS-CMM 1</b> <b>Informal</b>	Roles and responsibilities for carrying out recovery activities are defined but may be team-based.
	No data recovery or backup requirements or procedures are in place.
	Backups and data recovery testing is not generally undertaken.
	Backups are taken based on individual discretion and on an ad-hoc basis.

Reliance is placed solely on high availability and does not include disaster recovery.

## Focus areas

- Cloud services.
- Remote access.
- Business continuity/disaster recovery.
- Third party/vendor systems (such as SaaS environments).

## Intent of the Standard

Data recovery relates to the process of retrieving deleted, inaccessible, lost, corrupted, or damaged digital information.

In the context of data-loss implications, data recovery is an essential tool in risk mitigation and in maintaining business continuity. With more people working remotely, the risks increase as many employees use their own devices, or work on shared computers. Data recovery protects an organisation by maintaining uptime and minimising impacts on productivity.

Data recovery in the context of this Standard refers to:

- Logical data recovery: addresses issues such as file corruption, formatting, and accidental deletion.
- Physical data recovery: involves repairing hardware issues such as damaged drives or broken components.
- Remote data recovery: the process of recovering data from a location and device remotely.

## Suggested actions

The following list is not exhaustive. Organisations should identify which actions

are appropriate to implement the Standard based on their current maturity level. However, the following actions follow good-practice guidelines:

- Organisations undertake an asset classification exercise to identify their business-critical and sensitive systems. This could be incorporated into a business impact analysis assessment.
- Data retention requirements are identified and agreed on.
- Recovery point and recovery time objectives are defined.
- Organisations assess and choose data recovery methods appropriate for their situation.
- A data recovery policy is developed.
- Staff training is developed and delivered.
- Data recovery procedures are tested based on likely scenarios including loss of location/sites.

## Key dependencies

- An up-to-date understanding of critical business and public-facing systems and roles.
- Executive management buy-in and commitment to business continuity and disaster recovery.
- Data backup and recovery requirements based on a business continuity objective, including:
  - budget and cost,
  - resourcing requirements,
  - backup schedule,
  - recovery time,

- security backup requirements, and
- and the resilience of the overall recovery solution are defined.
- The procurement process provides appropriate assurance that vendors are aware of an organisation's data recovery requirements and can meet them.

## Measurable outcomes

- A data recovery policy is in place, including the date of approval.
- Defined recovery point and recovery time objectives (RPO/RTO).
- Approved training plans.
- Data recovery plan is in place.
- Data recovery audits are regularly undertaken.
- Periodic testing and auditing of recovery plans (incorporating both simulated and real-world recovery).
- Roles and responsibilities for the different types of recovery have been defined.
- Data recovery procedures are in place and regularly tested.
- Evidence of investment to support organisational data recovery requirements.

## Applicable NZISM Controls

CONTROL REF	CONTROL DESCRIPTION	CID
<b>3.4.10.C.01.</b>	Each system MUST have a system owner who is responsible for the operation and maintenance of the system.	442
<b>6.4.5.C.01.</b>	Agencies MUST determine availability and recovery requirements for their systems and implement measures consistent with the agency's SRMP to support them.	1120
<b>6.4.6.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify vital records,</li> <li>• backup all vital records,</li> <li>• store copies of critical information, with associated documented recovery procedures, offsite and secured in accordance with the requirements for the highest classification of the information, and</li> <li>• test backup and restoration processes regularly to confirm their effectiveness.</li> </ul>	1123
<b>6.4.7.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD develop and document a business continuity plan.	1126
<b>6.4.8.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD develop and document a disaster recovery plan.	1129

# Response Planning

## Standard Statement

Organisations have in place a process to develop and test cyber-incident management plans to ensure business continuity in the event of system or service failure.

### Minimum Maturity Level: CS-CMM 2

<b>CS-CMM 4</b> <b>Quantitatively Controlled</b>	<p>Response plans are tested and updated regularly, and they align to business requirements.</p> <p>Adequate oversight and monitoring for response activities is in place and undertaken by third parties/vendors.</p> <p>Response planning aligns to likelihood, impact, and overall risk management, to keep pace with emerging threats.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 3</b> <b>Standardised</b>	<p>Response plans are tested enterprise-wide and are updated regularly.</p> <p>Roles and responsibilities for response planning are allocated to job positions and reviewed regularly.</p> <p>Management is supportive of response planning initiatives and allocates investment and resourcing to response activities.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 2</b> <b>Planned &amp; Tracked</b>	<p>Response plans are created and updated (including post incident), taking into account likelihood and impact.</p> <p>Roles and responsibilities for response planning are allocated to employees rather than job positions.</p> <p>Management is supportive of response planning initiatives.</p>
<b>CS-CMM 1</b> <b>Informal</b>	<p>Minimal response planning procedures are in place.</p> <p>Roles and responsibilities are undefined or poorly defined.</p> <p>No formal testing occurs.</p>

## Focus areas

- Cloud services.
- Internal systems.

## Intent of the Standard

By implementing this Standard, organisations will be better prepared to respond to potential threats and security incidents. In the event of incident realisation, having a response plan will assist in minimising impact and restoring operations.

It is not feasible to have response plans to address all potential incidents. The objective of this Standard is for organisations to put in place response plans to address threats that have the greatest combined impact and likelihood. These plans should align to organisations' risk appetites.

The benefits of having a response plan include:

- **An organised approach**, including an agreed understanding across the business of what and how incidents are to be responded to. Security incidents are nearly impossible to predict in advance.
- **Strengthening of overall security** through the inclusion of additional resiliency measures.
- **Trust and confidence** are increased and/or maintained through knowing an organisation is well-equipped to handle incidents.
- **Compliance requirements** are considered and, where appropriate, included within the response plan.

As there are cost and reputational considerations to developing response plans, it is vital that management:

- understands which financial, time, effort, and resourcing requirements are needed to stand up the plan, and
- allocates adequate resources to support and maintain the organisation's environmental posture.

## Suggested actions

The following list is not exhaustive. Organisations should identify which actions are appropriate to implement the Standard based on their current maturity level. However, the following actions follow good-practice guidelines:

- Organisations define which events are to be categorised as incidents, factoring in criticality of data, systems under threat, and the level of response for each system tier.
- Funding and resourcing requirements are identified and allocated.
- Organisations assign personnel to oversee, create, and implement response plans.
- Response planning documentation and artefacts are developed.
- The response plan is communicated to impacted parties.
- Response plans are tested, lessons learned are incorporated into incident response procedures, and results are communicated to appropriate levels within the organisation.
- Threat identification and risk likelihood analysis is undertaken regularly and assigned to an organisation's risk appetite.

## Key dependencies

- There is management support and endorsement.

- An organisation has identified and documented what normal business operation looks like.
- Roles and responsibilities are defined, including any third parties that will be responsible for carrying out procedures.
- Defined incident severity thresholds, including levels of response, have been developed and agreed.
- Relevant documentation (such as mission statements, policies, and procedures).
- Relevant monitoring metrics (logging, alerting) are in place and reviewed for appropriateness.

## Measurable outcomes

- Approved budget/investment for response planning activities.
- Defined system recovery objectives (e.g. Recovery Time Objective/Recovery Point Objective/Acceptable Interruption Window).
- Incident response plans are updated.
- Ongoing testing and development of incident response plans and playbooks.
- Current listing of systems and scenarios that will require response: this could also include evidence of regular review and testing.
- Clearly defined roles and responsibilities.
- An organisational threat identification and analysis assessment.
- Incident communication plan (internally and to stakeholders).
- Security logging, alerting, and monitoring functionality for incident and threat identifiers.

## Applicable NZISM Controls

CONTROL REF	CONTROL DESCRIPTION	CID
<b>3.4.10.C.01.</b>	Each system MUST have a system owner who is responsible for the operation and maintenance of the system.	442
<b>6.4.5.C.01.</b>	Agencies MUST determine availability and recovery requirements for their systems and implement measures consistent with the agency's SRMP to support them.	1120
<b>6.4.6.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify vital records,</li> <li>• backup all vital records,</li> <li>• store copies of critical information, with associated documented recovery procedures, offsite and secured in accordance with the requirements for the highest classification of the information, and</li> <li>• test backup and restoration processes regularly to confirm their effectiveness.</li> </ul>	1123
<b>6.4.7.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD develop and document a business continuity plan.	1126
<b>6.4.8.C.01.</b>	Agencies SHOULD develop and document a disaster recovery plan.	1129

# Glossary of Acronyms

TERM	MEANING
<b>AI</b>	Artificial Intelligence
<b>BCP</b>	Business Continuity Plan
<b>CISO</b>	Chief Information Security Officer
<b>CMM</b>	Capability Maturity Model
<b>DNS</b>	Domain Name System
<b>DoS</b>	Denial of Service
<b>DRP</b>	Disaster Recovery Plan
<b>GCISO</b>	Government Chief Information Security Officer
<b>IdP</b>	Identity Provider Platform
<b>IT</b>	Information Technology
<b>ITSM</b>	Information Technology Security Manager
<b>ISO</b>	International Organisation for Standardisation
<b>JIT</b>	Just-in-Time
<b>MFA</b>	Multi-factor Authentication
<b>ML</b>	Machine Learning
<b>NIST</b>	National Institute of Standards and Technology
<b>NZISM</b>	New Zealand Information Security Manual
<b>OS</b>	Operating System
<b>OT</b>	Operational Technology
<b>PAM</b>	Privileged Access Management
<b>PSR</b>	Protective Security Requirements
<b>RBAC</b>	Role-based Access Control
<b>RPO</b>	Recovery Point Objective
<b>RTO</b>	Recovery Time Objective
<b>SaaS</b>	Software-as-a-Service
<b>SDLC</b>	Software Development Life Cycle
<b>SLA</b>	Service Level Agreement
<b>SRMP</b>	Security Risk Management Plan
<b>SSDLC</b>	Secure Software Development Life Cycle
<b>SSO</b>	Single Sign-On

<b>TCO</b>	Total Cost of Ownership
<b>VM</b>	Virtual Machine

## Glossary of Terms

<b>TERM</b>	<b>MEANING</b>
Acceptable Interruption Window	The amount of time a business process can be disrupted without causing significant harm to the organisation's mission. <sup>3</sup>
Accreditation	A procedure by which an authoritative body gives formal recognition, approval and acceptance of the associated residual security risk with the operation of a system, and issues a formal approval to operate the system as laid out in the NZISM.
Asset	Anything of value to an agency, such as IT equipment and software, information, personnel, documentation, reputation and public confidence.
Asset classification	The identification and ascribing of value to an asset within the context of an organisation's operating environment.
Baseline security	Information and controls that are used as a minimum implementation or starting point to provide a consistent minimum standard of systems security and information assurance.
Biometrics	A measurable physical characteristic or personal behavioural trait used to recognise the identity, or verify the claimed identity, of an applicant. Facial images, fingerprints, and iris scan samples are all examples of biometrics. <sup>4</sup>
Business critical	Systems and applications that must function for an organisation to conduct normal business operations, which includes internal and external systems.
Business owner	An individual, role, or group responsible for the business or functional needs of an information system, focusing on the value, functionality, and data within the system, and ensuring security, privacy and legal requirements are met.
Certification	The process by which the controls and management of an information system are formally evaluated against any specific risks identified, and with the requirements of the NZISM. A key output is a formal assurance statement that the system conforms to the requirements of the NZISM.
Chief Information Security Officer	A senior executive with overall responsibility for the governance and management of information risks within an agency. This may include coordination between security, ICT and business functions to ensure risks are properly identified and managed.

<sup>3</sup> [https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary/term/maximum\\_tolerable\\_downtime](https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary/term/maximum_tolerable_downtime)

<sup>4</sup> <https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary/term/biometrics>

Continuous monitoring	The ongoing ability to observe, track and report on any changes or deviations from baseline controls or agreed upon operating conditions.
Cyber security incident	A cyber security incident is any event that jeopardises or may jeopardise the confidentiality, integrity, or availability of an information system, or the information a system processes, stores, or communicates.
Cyber risk	The risk of depending on cyber resources (i.e., the risk of depending on a system or system elements that exist in or intermittently have a presence in cyberspace). <sup>5</sup>
Digital asset inventory	A list of assets (and their properties) possessed and maintained digitally by an organisation.
Emerging threats	Event(s) that could potentially adversely impact operations, assets or individuals caused by factors including advances in technology, changes in tactics used by threat actors, or geopolitical events.
End-of-life	Discontinuation of software or IT equipment that is no longer manufactured, sold or updated or maintained by the manufacturer.
End-of-support	Discontinuation of features, updates, security patches or any further improvements for software or IT equipment.
Externally facing systems	Systems and applications that are outside of the authorisation boundary established by the organisation and falls under the business-critical definition or has connectivity to a business-critical system(s).
Hardware	A generic term for any physical component of information and communication technology, including peripheral equipment and media used to process information.
Information asset	Any piece of information or related equipment that has value to an organisation. This includes equipment, facilities, patents, intellectual property, software, and hardware. Information assets also include services, information, and people, and characteristics such as reputation, brand, image, skills, capability, and knowledge.
Incident response plan	A plan for responding to information security incidents, as defined by an individual organisation.
Information Technology Security Manager	ITSMs are executives within an agency who act as a conduit between the strategic directions provided by the CISO and the technical efforts of systems administrators. The main responsibility of ITSMs is the administrative controls relating to information security within the organisation.
Mandated agencies	Agencies mandated under the GCISO authority are those set out in the Protective Security Requirements.
Protective Security Requirements	The Protective Security Requirements (PSR) outlines the Government's expectations for managing personnel security, physical security, and information security.

<sup>5</sup> [https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary/term/cyber\\_risk](https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary/term/cyber_risk)

Recovery point objective	The point in time to which data must be recovered to after an outage. <sup>6</sup>
Recovery time objective	The overall length of time an information system's components can be in the recovery phase before negatively impacting the organisation's mission or mission/business processes. <sup>7</sup>
Risk	A measure of the extent to which an entity is threatened by a potential circumstance or event, and typically a function of: (i) the adverse impacts that would arise if the circumstance or event occurs; and (ii) the likelihood of occurrence. <sup>8</sup>
Risk owner	An individual or group accountable and authorised to manage a specific risk or group of risks identified within the organisation.
Risk tolerance	The level of risk an entity is willing to assume in order to achieve a potential desired result. <sup>9</sup>
Rollback	A backup procedure whereby a system is restored back to a known good state prior to failure or disruption.
Secure by default	A security concept whereby software or hardware products are resilient against prevalent exploitation techniques out of the box. <sup>10</sup>
Security risk management plan	A plan that identifies the cyber risks and appropriate risk treatments including controls needed to meet organisational policy.
Software	Computer programs and associated data that may be dynamically written or modified during execution. <sup>11</sup>
Third party	An external entity such as a service provider, vendor, contractor, or partner that has a contractual or non-contractual relationship with an organisation. <sup>12</sup>
Threat	Any circumstance or event with the potential to adversely impact organisational operations (including mission, functions, or reputation), organisational assets, or individuals through an information system via unauthorised access, destruction, disclosure, modification of information, and/or denial of service. Also, the potential for a threat-source to successfully exploit a particular information system vulnerability. <sup>13</sup>
Threat landscape	The dynamic nature of threats caused by geopolitical events, emerging threats, threat actors, and vulnerabilities affecting the assets.
Vulnerability	A weakness in an information system, system security procedures, internal controls, or implementation that could be exploited or triggered by a threat source. <sup>14</sup>

<sup>6</sup> [https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary/term/recovery\\_point\\_objective](https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary/term/recovery_point_objective)

<sup>7</sup> [https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary/term/recovery\\_time\\_objective](https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary/term/recovery_time_objective)

<sup>8</sup> <https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary/term/risk>

<sup>9</sup> [https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary/term/risk\\_tolerance](https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary/term/risk_tolerance)

<sup>10</sup> [https://www.cisa.gov/sites/default/files/2023-04/principles\\_approaches\\_for\\_security-by-design-default\\_508\\_0.pdf](https://www.cisa.gov/sites/default/files/2023-04/principles_approaches_for_security-by-design-default_508_0.pdf)

<sup>11</sup> <https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary/term/software>

<sup>12</sup> [https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary/term/third\\_party\\_providers](https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary/term/third_party_providers)

<sup>13</sup> <https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary/term/threat>

<sup>14</sup> <https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary/term/vulnerability>